

OCNMS MANAGEMENT PLAN REVIEW  
PRIORITY TOPIC: *COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH, ASSESSMENTS & MONITORING TO INFORM  
ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT*

**Background Documents**

This material was assembled to support the Collaborative Research, Assessments & Monitoring to Inform Ecosystem-Based Management (CRAM) working group established under the Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary (OCNMS) Advisory Council (AC). <http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/AboutUs/sac/welcome.html>

As one part of OCNMS’s management plan review process, this AC working group was tasked with developing recommended actions related to research, assessment, and monitoring to be undertaken by OCNMS during the next 5-10 years. These “actions” will be drafted in the form of broad “strategies” each supported by more specific “activities”. The co-chairs of this working group are Terrie Klinger (UW) and Joe Schumacker (Quinault Natural Resources); Ed Bowlby and John Barimo serve as sanctuary support staff. Contact information for co-chairs, support staff, and working group participants is provided at the end of this document.

Included below are:

1. Guidance from the AC on this priority management topic .....	2
2. All comments related to CRAM submitted during the public scoping period .....	3
3. OCNMS Annual Operating Plan extracts .....	21
4. Draft goals and objectives approved by the AC [note: these will be refined, as necessary, after various working groups and workshops present recommendations to the AC] .....	27
5. Working group report example .....	30
6. Workgroup member list with contact information .....	33

## **Guidance from the OCNMS Advisory Council**

The AC reviewed topics raised during public scoping and, in collaboration with Intergovernmental Policy Council and OCNMS, developed a list of priority topics to be addressed in the revised management plan for OCNMS. Collaborative research, assessments, and monitoring in the sanctuary is one priority issue identified in the resulting Priority Issues Work Plan.

[http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/protection/mpr/PriorityIssue\\_WorkPlan.pdf](http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/protection/mpr/PriorityIssue_WorkPlan.pdf)

The following is an extract from the Priority Issues Work Plan:

### **CONDUCT COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH, ASSESSMENTS AND MONITORING TO INFORM ECOSYSTEM-BASED MANAGEMENT (EBM)**

In order to make effective management decisions, OCNMS and its partners need to maintain and further develop collaborations for scientific research and monitoring projects that address habitat characterization, living resources monitoring, oceanographic and water quality monitoring, and climate change. Furthermore, these research, assessment and monitoring efforts should inform larger-scale ecosystem-based management efforts.

### **Collaborative Research, Assessment and Monitoring to Inform EBM – AC Working Group**

Staff Lead: Ed Bowlby

AC recommended that the working group address the larger issue of how to move toward EBM.

Following this, the working group should determine how to address topics identified through the scoping process: habitat characterization, living resource monitoring, water quality and oceanographic processes monitoring, climate change, ecosystem impacts of fishing (assessing the impacts), fisheries stock assessments (research to support)

*Scoping Summary Topics to Address:* Research for Collaborative Ecosystem-Based Management; Habitat Characterization; Water Quality Monitoring; Living Resource Monitoring; Climate Change; Fisheries Stock Assessment (research to support); Ecosystem Impacts of Fishing (assessing the impacts); Non-point Source Pollution; Invasive Species

## SELECTED PUBLIC SCOPING COMMENTS RELATED TO RESEARCH, ASSESSMENT, AND MONITORING

The following comments related to research, assessments, and monitoring were extracted from the Scoping Summary document, which describes the fall 2008 scoping process and includes all comments received during the scoping period.

[http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/protection/mpr/ScopingSummary\\_12-15-08.pdf](http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/protection/mpr/ScopingSummary_12-15-08.pdf)

All scoping comments, sorted by meeting location or commenter, are also available on the OCNMS web site:

[http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/protection/mpr/mpr\\_scoping.html#scopingcomments](http://olympiccoast.noaa.gov/protection/mpr/mpr_scoping.html#scopingcomments)

### 5. CLIMATE CHANGE

- Documenting the condition of existing habitats is a prerequisite for, among other things: getting baseline information to gauge the likely looming effects of climate change.
- Though they may not yield useful results in the short term, long-term monitoring projects will be essential for OCNMS to understand how climate change affects its resources.
- Given the current expectations for global climate change, I believe that it would be a very good idea for the sanctuary to support more paleoenvironmental research. It may be possible to model and plan for possible changes. For example, there are several archaeological sites on the Olympic Peninsula that are associated with a relatively higher sea level than at present. The animal remains (and in one case so far, plant remains) in these archaeological sites can shed light on the nature of the marine environment in the area, when sea level is higher. The human/marine environment interaction can be traced through time, which will shed light on management issues (known archaeological records of more than 4,000 years of interaction). Research in non-archaeological sites (such as lake bottom sediments) can help separate the human and natural factors in the human/environmental interaction.
- In its preparations for climate change, OCNMS should focus primarily on adaptation rather than mitigation efforts. . . OCNMS should concentrate projects and plans on adapting to the changes brought by rising temperatures and more intense weather events. . . Throughout the planning process, OCNMS should utilize many of the resources available through the U.S. Climate Change Science Program (CCSP), NOAA, and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). In addition to reports, OCNMS should try to learn from other areas and programs that have been working to prepare for the uncertainties of climate change. . . In addition to learning from specific areas and other Sanctuaries, OCNMS should utilize information from estuary programs as well.
- In order to begin preparing for the effects of climate change, OCNMS should conduct a vulnerability assessment of as much of the Sanctuary's resources as possible. . . The US EPA recently developed a program to prepare estuaries for the effects of climate change. Their new program Climate Ready Estuaries ([www.epa.gov/cre](http://www.epa.gov/cre)) has developed an extensive coastal toolkit with information on adaptation planning and tools as well as example vulnerability assessments conducted in coastal areas. Information from some of these example assessments may guide OCNMS in completing one of their own. The program is currently working with six pilot estuaries to improve their management of uncertainty. Information and lessons learned from these pilots should be ready and available soon for OCNMS to utilize.
- Monitor conditions and trends, particularly indicators and sensors of climate change, for oceanic conditions, physical and chemical features and processes, and marine biota.
- Develop adaptation needs, strategies, and potential management actions for climate change.
- Sanctuaries should be places where basic long-term natural resource monitoring is done as a consequence of designation. At a minimum NOAA should be archiving their own satellite data to track seasonal changes in temperature and primary productivity in the nation's 13 Sanctuaries, but this is not done. These data will enable the Sanctuary program to provide an archive of the impacts global climate change is having on our nation's marine habitats.
- Increased coordination and cooperation between resource management agencies are required to improve planning, monitoring and adaptive management to address global climate change. The

Sanctuary should look to partner with the Makah Tribe, weather and climate experts within NOAA, and the University of Washington to better understand the role of the ocean past, present and future in climate change. We need sustained observational systems and data delivery systems at a coastal scale, including oceanographic, geophysical, hydrological, chemical, biological and geological. Data collection points could be increased through more sophisticated monitoring buoys which could assist in developing models for tsunami source, seafloor stability models, land subsidence, and storm formation.

- Assist Tribes, state and federal agencies in developing strategies to prepare for and respond to climate change.
- Ocean acidification could be detrimental to calcifying organisms and potentially have ecosystem-altering effects, but the extent of ocean acidification is not being monitored in the sanctuary. With monitoring infrastructure already in place for many aspects of the sanctuary's oceanographic conditions, the management plan should look into including the monitoring of pH changes in the sanctuary's ongoing research program.
- Ideally, OCNMS and the nation's 13 other marine sanctuaries should serve as a network of sentinel sites detecting ocean-wide changes caused by global warming, including ocean acidification. This is particularly pertinent for the OCNMS since the calcite and aragonite saturation horizons in the Pacific are historically shallower than other regions.
- OCA recommends that OCNMS place greater emphasis on monitoring climate change and its impacts within the Sanctuary. Changes in ocean temperatures and currents are important factors in assessing the condition and expected trends in Sanctuary health. Monitoring of climate impacts on glaciers in Olympic National Park is ongoing. The Sanctuary should establish sentinel monitoring sites to augment this important research.
- Climate Change Monitoring, Research and Adaptation.[should be a priority topic]
- The OCNMS should include in its new management plan both a research program directed at studying the effects of climate change and resource protection provisions designed to enhance the capacity of sanctuary resources and ecosystems to adapt to climate change.
- A wide variety of human impacts act to reduce resiliency and therefore make ocean ecosystems more susceptible to climate change. Thus, to enhance the capacity of ocean ecosystems to withstand and absorb the impacts of climate change they must be maximally resilient. In most places, this requires removing or minimizing anthropogenic stresses in order to give the ocean a chance to recover fully resilient. We encourage the National Marine Sanctuary System to take a proactive role in climate change research, monitoring and adaptation throughout all of the sanctuaries. Specifically, the OCNMS draft management plan should include a climate change action plan. We encourage the OCNMS to coordinate with efforts and activities already underway in the Channel Islands, Gulf of the Farallones and Cordell Bank sanctuaries on this important issue.
- Incorporate a modeling component to the [kelp] monitoring program to assess how the physical effects of climate change may impact the density and distribution of the kelp canopy.
- How kelp bed distribution and health is be impacted by climate change could fundamentally effect the nearshore habitat. Not only might the abundance and distribution of fish and invertebrate species be shifted but any reduction of the protective function kelp forests provide would cause increased exposure of the nearshore to the physical forces of waves and currents. The nearshore would experience changes in sediment transport and that would affect the geomorphology of the bed and change the shape of the beaches and shoreline. Incorporating a modeling component into the kelp monitoring would allow for some predictive capacity and a better understanding of the potential changes that will need to be addressed to best protect the Sanctuary resources.
- Expansion of the kelp monitoring program to: 1) capture the site scale changes that have been reported, 2) include a climate change modeling component, and 3) incorporate monitoring of additional macroalgae would significantly strengthen the Sanctuary's management plan. These changes would address two of the five priority topics to be addressed by the revised management plan *Characterization and Monitoring*, and *Climate Change*. Including an expanded macroalgae monitoring program as described above in the OCNMS Management Plan would allow for improved characterization of the Sanctuary resources, and the ability to more effectively respond to acute and long term environmental stressors.

- [W]e encourage the sanctuary to continue monitoring water quality using mooring stations and to collect data to better understand global climate change induced impacts such as ocean acidification, temperature changes and hypoxic events.
- Climate change will have dramatic effects on the Sanctuary. In order to monitor these changes and understand the dynamics of the area, adequate equipment must be deployed to gauge dissolved oxygen, salinity, temperature, and subsurface current flow. This could be achieved by deploying year-round enhanced mooring buoys equipped with the proper sensors.
- [I]ncorporate research into the effects of climate change. Collectively, national sanctuaries can offer great insight into the impacts of climate change on ocean ecosystems.
- Monitor ocean acidification and other climate related impacts.
- Surfrider Foundation feels that climate change should be highlighted as a separate priority in the Management Plan.
- The sanctuary should do more work on deep-sea corals and deep-sea communities in order to monitor for climate change.
- Specifically what is the role of sanctuary with climate change research?
- We need more geological research specifically focused on paleo-shoreline and sea level history over the past 20,000 years.
- Monitor the effects of ocean acidification and other effects of climate change within the sanctuary.
- Evaluate existing monitoring programs, and determine effectiveness in detecting climate change effects within the sanctuary.
- Make proactive efforts to monitor for climate change effects in the sanctuary. Link to the National Park's efforts, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) work (e.g., R. Feely) and others within a network. This could tie into the Ocean Observing Systems.
- Consider prioritizing research on ocean acidification and its potential effects on species within the sanctuary.
- A program to monitor the interspecies dynamics of increased abundance warm water species such as tuna and pelican. How are these changes affecting the ecosystem and what are these species eating (stomach contents analysis)?
- Sanctuary should maintain regular data to investigate carbon sequestering and ocean acidification. Need baseline data. Monitor key species that may be affected by acidification. Coccolithophores
- The sanctuary should focus research programs to conduct monitoring on decadal scale. The program needs to be sufficient to conduct continuous long-term monitoring. The current research programs are not focused enough (i.e. detect changes cause by climate changes).
- Resource management needs to identify resources at risk and address potential impacts of climate change.
- Oceanographic long-term monitoring should be undertaken to document what is happening with climate change (chemistry, water temperature, etc). Short-term monitoring is not enough.
- Low oxygen problem. Need continued focus, improved understanding of oceanographic and climate change linkages.
- Understand impacts of climate change
- The sanctuary needs to find a way to fund "spiders" on existing buoys that monitor ocean acidification. The degree of ocean acidification is extremely important to monitor.
- The sanctuary should research how global warming will affect resources in the sanctuary.

## 8. ECOSYSTEM IMPACTS OF FISHING

- Archeological sites contain information that can be used to understand the ecology of present systems which could help us with resource management (e.g., look at things in the past before management issues such as overfishing were occurring).
- The sanctuary should pursue a policy of ecosystem-based management, which should focus on interaction of all elements of ecosystems, including humans as element of the system.
- The sanctuary should keep the draggers out of the sanctuary. Draggers (bottom trawling) are tearing the bottom up.

- Sanctuary should undertake more coral biomass research – not just taking pictures of the resources but estimating the biomass of the coral resources, for example in areas not accessible to fishing gear as well as fished areas.
- Analysis of fisheries impacts or levels of impacts, what impacts have been sustained.
- Create areas to be avoided by trawlers and identify rocky areas that could be utilized by corals and sponges.
- The apparent ineffectiveness of the existing management plan in protecting the sanctuary resources from 1) the likely expansion of the Navy's test range into the sanctuary, 2) the unknown effects of the experimental wave-energy project, 3) destructive fisheries
- While it may not want to get involved in helping to determine catches, the Sanctuary should prohibit damaging fishing techniques within its boundaries, such as bottom trawling.
- The OCNMS should also establish some marine reserves that are protected from fishing, even if these reserves are small. These can serve as important refugia that restock adjacent damaged or overfished areas.
- I would like to offer a comment in support of the strongest possible protections for the rockfish (particularly Tiger, China, and Canary) in danger of extirpation off of our state's coast. Survey data indicate that these populations are far too low to allow further harvesting or incidental take.
- Continued work with tribes to minimize impacts from their fishing and harvesting including closures when needed
- No fishing areas to let populations recover and expand
- Please close the rockfish fishery for the foreseeable future. Populations of China Rockfish, Tiger Rockfish and Canary Rockfish in the portion of the Marine Sanctuary encompassing Tatoosh Island, the entrance to the Strait of Juan de Fuca, and Neah Bay are reported to have declined greatly, and are currently under heavy fishing pressure by recreational fishers.
- I support stronger fishing and harvesting restrictions within the Marine Sanctuary along with continued awareness and action regarding invasive species.
- Protection of benthic infrastructure is of critical importance to the maintenance of healthy ecosystems, particularly where fisheries species associated with fragile benthic communities are targeted by destructive fishing practices (e.g., trawling for some species of Rockfish). Cold water and deep water benthic communities are known to be slow growing, with unknown recruitment/recovery rates, therefore management practices should be pro-active and conservative.
- I am greatly concerned to learn that numbers of fish, particularly rockfish, has declined significantly in recent years. Encourage you to place stringent limits on the exploitation of these resources.
- I would like to ask that you please consider managing the OCNMS rockfish population with an eye to preserving one of the few places in Washington where divers can see these long lived but elsewhere critically depleted species. The populations of rockfish in the sanctuary are presently suffering as a result of fishing regulations which are not sufficient to maintain sustainable breeding populations of these fish. . . I suspect that as we try to rebuild rockfish populations throughout Puget Sound and the San Juans we will rely on seeding from places like the OCNMS - we need a sustainable population from which to base the recovery.
- No trawling should be allowed, nor any other type of fishing. Areas where fishing is outlawed experience major rebounds of species. The sanctuary should be a no-fishing zone, otherwise we will never have a natural ecosystem.
- Compatible use and close monitoring of fisheries can assure limited activities in some areas while other areas are "off line" and recovering, serving as nurseries for outside harvest areas.
- Help to prevent overfishing and contribute to recovery of depleted fisheries. For example, consider designating marine reserves and refugia.
- Prohibit or adequately restrict fishing techniques that damage the sea floor, such as, bottom trawling and long lining.
- We remain concerned by the effects of bottom trawling on seafloor habitats within sanctuary waters. In 2002, the National Research Council published the report, *Effects of Trawling and Dredging on Seafloor Habitat*, which provides an independent, objective and critical review of scientific literature and reports on bottom trawling impacts. The National Research Council (NRC 2002) concluded that bottom trawling alters the seabed and marine life by: reducing habitat complexity; altering seafloor

communities; and reducing habitat productivity. Bottom trawl gear, consisting of expansive nets plus steel doors, chains and footrope gear, is dragged across the seafloor, knocking over living, habitat-forming invertebrates, suspending sediments into the water column, compressing the seafloor, displacing boulders and digging into sandy habitats. We encourage the Sanctuary to protect sensitive habitats and resources from the destructive impacts caused by this fishing practice.

- The National Marine Sanctuaries Act and the Magnuson Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act are both important pieces of legislation administered by NOAA. While they should be administered in a compatible manner, they have different purposes and mandates that are not always complementary. Each year NMFS authorizes industrial fisheries that remove thousands of metric tons of living marine resources like whiting, rockfishes and salmon from sanctuary waters. It is becoming increasingly clear that fishing affects more than just targeted species--it affects the entire ecological community. Through the direct removal of targeted fish species, indirect competition with ocean wildlife, bycatch of non-target species and habitat damage induced by destructive fishing gear, commercial fisheries affect the marine environment and resources of the sanctuary. It is important that the Sanctuary work closely with the National Marine Fisheries Service and tribes to ensure that ocean fisheries are managed in an ecologically sustainable manner. We recommend that the OCNMS management plan include direction to work closely with NMFS in the development of an ecosystem-based fishery management plan that consider ocean fishery management in the context of a vibrant and healthy ocean ecosystem, rather than in the context of single species managed for maximum yield objectives.
- With regard to habitat protection within the Sanctuary, we first urge NOAA to work with trawl vessel owners and operators to ensure that the impacts of their gear - known to be damaging to sensitive benthic habitats - is minimized. This may be done through area-based restrictions around known sensitive habitats such as corals and sponges. In addition, considerable investment should be made in cooperative research that offers opportunities for fishermen to design and participate in studies that demonstrate effective use of selective fishing gears and methods. From an economic stand point, restoration of the marine environment is exponentially more expensive than precautionary efforts to preserve sensitive areas. Furthermore, precautionary management measures within the Sanctuary are in keeping with your mission statement to "preserve the area's ecological integrity."
- The discovery of deep-water corals and sponges in the Sanctuary indicates the importance of this area of the coast. Unfortunately, these organisms are extremely susceptible to damage associated with human activities, including some types of fishing and geological exploration. It is therefore imperative that these organisms receive full protection. Please note that under the reauthorization of the Magnuson Act, protection of organisms other than fish in our waters is now authorized.

## 9. FISHERIES STOCK ASSESSMENT

- I would like to see OCNMS work with government entities in doing stock assessments of fish.
- The OCNMS is home to a vast array of fishes including salmon, lingcod, cabezon, kelp greenling, halibut and many species of rockfish. Some, such as lingcod, have high site fidelity to individual reefs, while others such as Pacific whiting (hake) traverse waters along the West Coast. We believe that some of the most pressing problems in our fisheries – bycatch and overfishing for example -- have occurred because management actions have inadequately accounted for spatial variability of the resource. While west coast salmon and groundfish fisheries face crisis after crisis, fishing effort in the usual and accustomed fishing grounds of Washington's coastal Treaty Tribes - and therefore in the OCNMS - is increasing. We feel strongly that NOAA, state agencies, and tribal councils should do everything possible to manage and steward this area with caution and foresight, using the best available science. With regard to biophysical processes in the region, nearshore demersal habitats tend to be vastly different from deeper offshore areas of the continental shelf and slope. Nearshore regions are typified by "sticky water" with very low alongshore movement. Offshore regions are generally colder, lower oxygen, and stable ocean environments with much stronger alongshore advective processes coming into play in the pelagic region (Francis et al. 2008). PMCC believes that the Sanctuary could be a leader in the move toward finer scale spatial management of the region's fisheries. We recognize that, from an ecosystem perspective, the nearshore coastal environment presents a challenge to manage on fine spatial scales not encountered with offshore fisheries. The OCNMS management plan should

include provisions for spatial management, including specific actions to be taken based on the latest fisheries science.

- There is an identity crisis with two National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) agencies: the National Ocean Service, and National Marine Fisheries Service. What is the specific role of sanctuary? It is a great research mechanism.
- The sanctuary should stay back from the regulatory role of fisheries. It should conduct/coordinate research that contributes to the regulatory policies.
- The sanctuary should have a cooperative agreement on the state/tribes ecosystem initiative. This initiative will look at rockfish stocks on a regional basis and look at rockfish stocks in relation to mapped habitat. There is a need to help improve the objectivity of scientific research produced by all resource managers.
- Information available to the Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC) could be augmented. Sanctuary could help with data-poor stock assessments to fill in data gaps.
- Ocean fisheries are being depleted – more research and regulation on fish stocks. More current stock data. Sanctuary should be an area of more intense study.
- Research on fish biomass should be provided to regulators.
- Would like the sanctuary to assist with rockfish stock assessments. Current efforts are insufficient.
- Work with the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) to develop stock assessment of fish, especially yelloweye and canary rockfish. Coast-wide biomass assessment (Mexico to WA) not representative of regional abundance.
- Sanctuary should contribute, can take a lead with regional stock assessment to refine groundfish management.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) science centers need help. Stock assessments are data poor. Sanctuary could have access to more resources to expand stock assessment efforts.
- Diversity of data sources would help to ground truth differences in results gained from different methods. Need to make sure data input into stock assessment models is reliable.
- Remotely-operated vehicles (ROVs) and other modern technologies should be used to improve stock assessment methods in conjunction with conventional techniques.
- For example, the sanctuary could facilitate stock assessment research by giving boat time or other means to help fisheries researchers to do their job.
- Rockfish assessment research should be expanded to areas that current methods have not captured (randomized transects within variable bottom contours); current methods are unable to access certain areas that some species tend to prefer or require
- There need to be regionally-based assessments of rockfish and not a coast-wide management.
- Where possible, provide data and information to fisheries management entities to improve stock assessments -- but in so doing, characterize the full life cycle of organisms and their habitat associations - to support sustainable fisheries.
- In addition to banning cruise ship discharges in the Management Plan the Sanctuary needs to rededicate itself to informing the public about the natural wealth that lies off the coast, enhance our region's ability to prevent and respond to oil spills and conduct research that helps to inform fisheries management rather than including fishing within the scope of regulations as you told the public when the Sanctuary was first designated.

#### **10. HABITAT CHARACTERIZATION**

- The sanctuary should do more work on deep-sea corals and deep-sea communities in order to monitor for climate change.
- Seafloor mapping should be 100% complete and assessment of benthic habitat which are important, especially deep coral.
- We need more geological research specifically focused on paleo-shoreline and sea level history over the past 20,000 years.
- Seafloor mapping and habitat characterization need to be high priorities.
- The sanctuary should continue habitat mapping in the sanctuary. This habitat mapping data also needs to be ground-truthed.



- The sanctuary should consider that habitat mapping data should support other ecosystem objectives, and not just support sanctuary or rockfish needs.
- The sanctuary should pursue an Intergovernmental agreement to declassify U.S. Navy maps and bathymetric data.
- Corals and living organisms that form seafloor habitats should be protected as best we can. These habitats regenerate very slowly after damage.
- A priority should be continuation of seafloor mapping and habitat classification programs. Mapping efforts should be completed.
- Would like public access to sanctuary's maps (e.g., bottom habitats). Would like improved charting for navigation safety. Suggest using sanctuary data to improve National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) charts.
- Sanctuary should continue habitat mapping efforts. Get 'er done.
- Conduct and/or support those conducting analyses of existing data and identify data needs.
- The sanctuary should work together with the state, counties, port authorities, and the tribes to expand knowledge of habitat characterization. Collecting the data would help other initiatives such as siting of wave energy structures, ecosystem assessments, protection of essential fish habitat, etc...
- Sanctuary should conduct more mapping and habitat characterization within its boundaries.
- Need additional research on deep sea corals. Where they are, what they do, how they interact within the ecosystem.
- The sanctuary should develop data standards that provide for data and interpretation of the data to be translatable and available to resource managers in a timely fashion.
- We should survey the habitats and species to understand better what lives in the sanctuary and where. Habitat mapping is key.
- The sanctuary should make its data/research more accessible to the public and others.
- Further deep sea coral research – lack of data, need of more complete picture.
- Habitat mapping, developing response plan, continue and accelerate work
- Develop and adhere to a standard to making existing data translatable and available in a reasonable time period to inform resource management.
- Sanctuary should expand monitoring and characterization of all habitats within the sanctuary. We need to understand the habitat needs of all lifecycle stages.
- Sanctuary should undertake more coral biomass research – not just taking pictures of the resources but estimating the biomass of the coral resources, for example in areas not accessible to fishing gear as well as fished areas.
- Sanctuary should expand random transect video monitoring rather than site-specific video monitoring, in order to have a more representative picture of habitat, species composition, abundance, etc...
- Analysis of fisheries impacts or levels of impacts, what impacts have been sustained.
- Need a baseline for future monitoring. Sanctuary to help facilitate with agencies, academic, tribes and act as a clearing house. Coordinate a bi-annual symposium of knowledge of the sanctuaries, i.e., recent research results.
- Species research that captures trends and status of different types in the sanctuary. Research should focus on habitat conditions and habitat types, i.e., deep corals.
- Sanctuary needs to be doing more mapping of the seafloor habitat.
- The protection of newly found deep-sea coral is very important. The coral needs to be identified and protected. We also need to increase the area of sanctuary that is mapped, so that we know what we've got. There needs to be stewardship among all the users.
- The sanctuary should assist/support fisheries managers by doing research that helps managers (rather than managing fisheries itself). For example, seafloor mapping research could help fisheries managers.
- The need to continue mapping the sanctuary seafloor. Documenting the condition of existing habitats is a prerequisite for, among other things: minimizing the damage to deep-sea corals and sponges.
- Documenting the condition of existing habitats is a prerequisite for, among other things: getting baseline information to gauge the likely looming effects of climate change.
- Continuation of mapping and ground-truthing efforts should be given high priority, and the use of predictive models for extrapolation of data into unknown areas should be employed where possible.

- [Identify]to the best of our ability the current condition of habitats and resources – comprehensive habitat mapping will be key
- The sanctuary should pursue inner-governmental agreements or MOAs to declassify appropriate U.S. Navy maps and bathometric data.
- The sanctuary should consider that habitat mapping data support other ecosystem objectives, and not only support sanctuary or rockfish needs.
- Biodiversity conservation should include the following [issue]: The management plan should include continued undersea explorations to map the distribution of habitat-forming structures, such as deep-sea corals and sponges. . .
- The management plan should describe the Sanctuary’s planned effort for seafloor mapping and habitat classification to cover the entire Sanctuary at high enough resolution to inform habitat-conservation decisions.
- Continue habitat mapping and baseline inventory of biota.
- Shoreline characterizations need to be completed for the development of an environmental sensitivity atlas that would be helpful in Natural Resource Damages Assessments as well.
- It seems unfortunate that NOAA has to spend its limited resources in mapping the bottom of the Sanctuary when the Navy already possesses these data but will not make them available and then prohibits NOAA from making their results public as well. NOAA needs to seek from the Navy an analysis of their bottom mapping that enables the Navy to protect classified information while allowing NOAA to better define the nature of the benthic habitat.
- We believe that there are several goals and objectives that the Sanctuary, together with its partnering agencies and the Tribes, should work toward. We . . .need to gather baseline data sufficient to measure change in marine resources within the boundary of the Sanctuary. From this, the Sanctuary can begin to develop an understanding of the distribution and quality of habitats and the role in which they function in the marine ecosystem.
- The Sanctuary should initiate work to characterize benthic habitats. The Sanctuary should determine to what extent the navy would be willing to share its data while protecting classified information.
- The management plan should include continued undersea explorations to map the distribution of habitat-forming structures, such as deep-sea corals and sponges. OCNMS researchers have surveyed only a small portion of the sanctuary, and there might be many undiscovered corals and other living structures in sanctuary waters that warrant protection.
- Only a quarter of the sanctuary’s seafloor habitat has been mapped. . . The management plan should describe the sanctuary’s planned effort for seafloor mapping and habitat classification to cover the entire sanctuary at high enough resolution to inform habitat conservation decisions.
- OCA calls for research on and implementation of the best methods for restoration of kelp forests in the OCNMS. Research should be conducted to identify the appropriate sites for restoration within the Sanctuary. Successful restoration methods used in California should be adapted for use in the OCNMS.
- Specifically, the draft management plan should include a plan to complete seafloor mapping and habitat classification throughout the sanctuary at high enough resolution to inform management decisions.
- Continue underwater explorations for habitat-forming structures, such as corals and sponges.
- Complete Seafloor mapping and habitat classification for the entire sanctuary at a high enough resolution to inform management decisions.

## **12. INVASIVE SPECIES**

- Sanctuary should do more baseline monitoring especially in regards to invasive species and upland activities (examples: mineral extraction, logging, coastline development)
- Sanctuary should proactively address invasive species. For example, intertidal surveys should be conducted frequently enough to not let invasive species become established.
- Coordination among agencies is import role for sanctuary with regards to long-term monitoring and eradication of invasive species.
- Invasive species
- Invasive species – Are there any thoughts of a response plan for invasive species?
- Continue efforts to protect area from invasives

- I am concerned about the influx of invasive species, whether through ballast water or carried on currents. We now have 2 invasive *Spartina* species in Grays Harbor, and anticipate more pressure from seed carried north from Humboldt and San Francisco Bays. I would like to know if there are any plans to set aside funding for detecting and controlling invasive species?
- I support stronger fishing and harvesting restrictions within the Marine Sanctuary along with continued awareness and action regarding invasive species.
- Invasive Species monitoring needs to be conducted in a tiered response for early detection. Having a broad constant look and then a more focused approach routinely so that nothing slips in is important.
- You have a note about it but from having dealt with invasive *Didemnum* at the Underwater Park at Edmonds it is not something that can be handled hit or miss. I would encourage an intensive survey even if the density were one data point per square mile to understand the existing status.
- Identify, prevent, and remove invasive species.

#### **14. LIVING RESOURCES MONITORING**

- The sanctuary's future management plan should pay increased attention to living marine resources. Given their condition, attention to living marine resources should be an increased priority for the sanctuary.
- A priority of the sanctuary should be to establish with confidence what the status of the living marine resources is. Once we know this status, the sanctuary could act with more authority in managing the resources.
- The sanctuary should contribute to the understanding of the winter distribution of the endangered southern resident killer whale population.
- Study morbillivirus and toxoplasma in sea otters to determine its contagiousness.
- Expand upon current physical and biological parameter monitoring using remote ocean sensing devices (buoys) to provide baseline data and early warnings (e.g., harmful algal blooms). Integrate current deployments into Coastal Ocean Observing Systems, and partner with them.
- Increase knowledge on the presence, use and abundance in the sanctuary of threatened and endangered species. For example, study migratory pathways of hatchery and wild salmon.
- Monitoring should occur year-round (not just during good weather seasons), and should capture events that occur during the winter. For example, kelp monitoring in the winter is important in order to know what's coming for the spring.
- Check for parasitic algae on bull kelp, which is occurring in the central Sound.
- NOAA should use all of its observation assets (e.g., satellites) to the benefit of the national marine sanctuaries. Make this part of the management plan.
- The sanctuary should do more research on baseline levels of water column plankton larval fish and forage fish species. This data is needed for oil spill response and natural resource damage assessment.
- The sanctuary should initiate a stakeholder process to develop a shared set of species and habitats to be evaluated. Determine the conditions of those species and habitats and jointly develop strategies to protect them. Leverage partnerships and identify gaps.
- Continue surveying and monitoring efforts for long-term data sets on marine mammals, seabirds, kelp, etc. Existing monitoring programs need to continue and be identified as high priority items and not be terminated.
- A key role of the sanctuary is long term monitoring of living resources. Sites where long-term data is collected are needed. The sanctuary can serve this role by conducting and encouraging research and monitoring, and maintaining data and history.
- Research on predator biomass: seals, sea lions, pelicans.
- Local knowledge from fishermen should be used to help develop sanctuary research.
- Utilize local charter or commercial vessel operators for monitoring of baseline conditions. Create two-way communication process (e.g., email) to inform of changes in environmental conditions.
- There is a strong need to provide sanctuary data in a timelier manner and we need to identify the impediments that inhibit these reports from being produced and made available to other agencies and organizations.
- Conduct and/or support those conducting analyses of existing data and identify data needs.

- Need monitoring using remote sensing. More work with partnerships; agencies, tribes, non government organizations, and research institutions. To monitor physical changes and biological changes in the water of the sanctuary (e.g., harmful algal blooms - HABs).
- The sanctuary should develop data standards that provide for data and interpretation of the data to be translatable and available to resource managers in a timely fashion.
- We should identify to the best of our ability what is the condition of those resources.
- The sanctuary should make its data/research more accessible to the public and others.
- The sanctuary should act as a science based advisory panel and not implement belief based policy. Research that will fill data gaps in the transition to ecosystem based fisheries management. Specifics to include monitoring of apex predators, or sea otter-sea urchin dynamics. Conduct research that is mutually beneficial to tribes and the sanctuary. To be collaborators.
- Base line data – need data to make intelligent decisions for resources and managing resources.
- Marine bird assessment and why in decline.
- Develop a gap analysis about marine resources what we know and what we don't know. To inform management decisions. Example: Increases information for oil spills. Base line data.
- Develop and adhere to a standard to making existing data translatable and available in a reasonable time period to inform resource management.
- The sanctuary should set up a monitoring program to help with oil spill prevention that would monitor larval stages of rockfish and other groundfish species. To date, there is mainly risk assessment info on near shore species but no or little monitoring to assess damage to groundfish species, migratory species, recruitment, etc. Monitoring should be seasonal or even monthly.
- The sanctuary should increase research efforts and investigation on the marine survivability of all salmonid species in the ocean environment, with an emphasis on coastal species and/or ESA-listed species.
- The sanctuary should undertake genetic studies of salmonids passing through the sanctuary. There are species from other places (Columbia River basin, etc) that pass through the sanctuary and the sanctuary should study this occurrence: sanctuary may be critical habitat for certain species passing through, but we don't know that because we don't have the information.
- The sanctuary should support the installation of passive acoustic monitoring of killer whales or other marine mammals, similar to what has been done in Neah Bay.
- Sanctuary should do more in-depth monitoring of the non-native otter population effect on the resident urchin population: current urchin population may not be able to recover due to recent predation by otter population. Need to investigate and assess this issue.
- Sanctuary needs to commit itself to long-term monitoring of important parts of the food web. Should conduct review of protocols that can be conducted year after year so that these programs continue.
- Coordination with other agencies to get a better understanding of roles and responsibilities. Comprehensive understanding of research trends. Analysis of trends that have changed since the sanctuary designation. What improvements have occurred since designation?
- Need a baseline for future monitoring. Sanctuary to help facilitate with agencies, academic, tribes and act as a clearing house. Coordinate a bi-annual symposium of knowledge of the sanctuaries, i.e., recent research results.
- We need to better define the winter distribution of southern killer whales. Acoustic instrumentation on the coast to track the movements needed.
- To better understand the usage by gray whales of feeding areas. Improved characterization of mother-calf pairs during northern migrations.
- Natural Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) near shore species characterization.
- When to use oil dispersant use matrix for responsible dispersant use
- The sanctuary needs an on-line database where the public can access data and information. This would better educate people about what the sanctuary is doing. It is difficult to access sanctuary data. If data was accessible on-line, it would lead to more transparency.
- Many observers of coastal issues would like to see more research directed to the use of the OCNMS by gray whales. It is especially important to understand the timing of the arrival of mothers and calves to the nearshore areas of La Push and the Makah . . . More information could shed light on how best to minimize disturbance to them by human activities.

- Though they may not yield useful results in the short term, long-term monitoring projects will be essential for OCNMS to understand how climate change affects its resources.
- Over the past 8 years I have had the privilege of working as a COASST volunteer . . . please continue and expand research in the Sanctuary. Track our birds, marine mammals, and sea life. Check our water quality and insure we are not injuring the marine populations . . .
- The Olympic National Marine Sanctuary is a joy to visit both on land and in the water as a diver. With the vast variety of flora and fauna makes this place a haven for both scientists and naturalists. There is a lot of research that needs to be done in this area and it would be very useful if the two would use an equivalent system enabling them both to use each others information for the betterment of the area.
- Provide equal opportunities for people to collect data for research. Provide different skill levels that can be checked and have equivalency with existing programs such as REEF, COASST, National Geographic Dive , Citizen Sciences, and Beach Watchers, However, NOAA, needs set the standard of each skill level that a person can learn with minimal training and then partake in collecting data or assist scientists.
- We must have programs in place that tell us how we are doing in trying to keep our waters clean and the inhabitants healthy so both plants and animals have the ability to live and prosper in a clean environment. There is so much we don't know and having programs like COASST, exploring the corals, keeping tabs on whale, otters, seals, birds and other wildlife is vital in helping us to protect these valuable natural resources.
- Continue surveying and monitoring efforts for long-term data sets on marine mammals, seabirds, kelp, etc. Existing monitoring programs need to continue and be identified as high priority items and not be terminated.
- Marine resource monitoring: although costly, resource monitoring is very important in the protection process . . . Initially this focus should be on baseline studies, species of concern and on indicator species/systems that are key to the overall health of the ecosystem while also measuring global warming.
- Winter is not a time to ignore the OCNMS. Yes conducting research in the winter is not always pleasant but the returns are important, as there are changes that occur and systems in place during winter that effect the entire year.
- Continue habitat mapping and baseline inventory of biota.
- Monitor sanctuary resources, including but not limited to species that are threatened, endangered, in decline, or that have been significantly impacted, and the food chain and physical conditions that support them.
- NOAA needs to invest in technology that would enable the Sanctuary to efficiently assess the seasonal occurrence of marine organisms in the water column for the development of a dispersant use matrix.
- Establishing a larval fish assessment monitoring program is also a top priority, as it will provide much needed insight into year-round water column vulnerabilities and can inform an oil spill dispersant decision matrix.
- Establish a near-shore baseline data monitoring program that includes surveying and quantifying invertebrate, macro-algae and rockfish populations.
- Design a year-round larval fish assessment protocol that meets the needs of all resource managers by acquiring technology such as In Situ Ichthyoplankton Imaging System (ISIIS) that allows for large coverage area while minimizing analysis time, and that incorporates fishing vessel operator participation where appropriate.
- Although costly, resource monitoring is very important in the protection process. Understanding the financial constraints of the sanctuary system, monitoring should be streamlined and focused. Initially this focus should be on baseline studies, species of concern and on indicator species/systems that are key to the overall health of the ecosystem while also considering global warming.
- OCA calls for research on the original natural distribution of kelp forests within OCNMS waters. This research should include documentation of tribal oral histories and examination for evidence of past kelp forests on existing and sediment covered rocky substrates.
- OCA recommends that the OCNMS increase biodiversity monitoring within the Sanctuary. Our understanding of the diversity of species existing in the biological web of life in the Sanctuary is

necessary for an ecosystem management approach, focusing on ecosystem connections. We recommend that OCNMS focus on the lower ratings in the Condition Report.

- OCA recommends that the OCNMS enhance monitoring of orca and other marine mammals. Sonar buoy monitoring systems would be helpful in establishing marine mammal migration and feeding zones, so that they can be better protected within the Sanctuary.
- Expand the kelp monitoring program to include sampling designed explicitly to measure and characterize anecdotally observed changes.
- Begin monitoring the diversity and distribution of the other macroalgae that host the many fish and invertebrate species present in the nearshore.
- [A]necdotal evidence suggests a reduction in kelp beds near river mouths. The current [kelp] monitoring program does not include a sampling design detailed enough to measure these observed changes. If the kelp forests are indeed beginning to erode in these areas, the Sanctuary should ensure the ability to quantify this change through an adaptation to the monitoring program.
- Currently the only macroalgae that is monitored is kelp. There is an abundance of other macroalgae species including *Gracilariopsis*, *Carcoditheca* (*Neogagarhiella*), *Botroglossum*, *Prionitis*, *Desmerestia*, *Callophyllis*, *Gelidium*, *Gigartina*, *Nerocystic* and *Laminaria* that provide important habitat functions including substrate for the deposition of herring eggs, food and refugia for fish. The productivity and decomposition of macroalgae is important in nutrient cycling and influences nearshore water quality. A program that includes an initial survey to establish a baseline mapping in the density, distribution and diversity of the macroalgae as well as continued monitoring and analysis of changes from this baseline, could be used to assess the status of habitat and water quality at the Sanctuary.
- Expansion of the kelp monitoring program to: 1) capture the site scale changes that have been reported, 2) include a climate change modeling component, and 3) incorporate monitoring of additional macroalgae would significantly strengthen the Sanctuary's management plan. These changes would address two of the five priority topics to be addressed by the revised management plan\_ *Characterization and Monitoring*, and *Climate Change*. Including an expanded macroalgae monitoring program as described above in the OCNMS Management Plan would allow for improved characterization of the Sanctuary resources, and the ability to more effectively respond to acute and long term environmental stressors.
- [Work with Olympic National Park to] inventory and monitor coastal and marine resources within park boundaries, determine baseline conditions, and detect abnormal changes in time to implement remedial actions.
- Many species of seabirds are in decline Washington Marine Waters. It is important to monitor the distribution and abundance of birds in the Sanctuary. What factors are influencing key bird food resources? Are changes in short term (last 10 years) meteorological conditions influencing nutrient dynamics and near shore productivity?
- The marine mammal and seabird surveys conducted in the Sanctuary are vital to understanding the health and dynamics of the area. Additionally, the citizen science Coastal Observation and Seabird Survey Team (COASST) program provides a unique means of monitoring the health of the sanctuary through the study of seabird mortality.

## 25. NON-POINT SOURCE POLLUTION

- The sanctuary should work on ways to incorporate or be mindful of activities going on upland of the sanctuary.
- Sanctuary should do more baseline monitoring especially in regards to invasive species and upland activities (examples: mineral extraction, logging, coastline development)
- Sanctuary should track and address stormwater runoff, upland erosion, and non-point source runoff pollutants because of their potential to have adverse impacts on the marine ecosystem.
- Near shore study needed to find out what type of land -use practices are used to impact Sanctuary resources: timber; future development; need baseline data.
- Work on land influences on marine ecosystems - the land connection, kelp etc.
- There is considerable deforestation along the south end of the OCNMS. I do not know of the OCNMS being part of the review process for any of this activity or notifying any property owner or subdivision

that their actions have impacted the water runoff or water quality of the OCNMS - even in the cases where the trees have been completely removed from an adjacent mountain top or removed from the cliffs directly above the OCNMS.

- We would also like to see OCNMS have the ability to comment on land-based activities that affect the success of the Sanctuary in meeting its goals of ocean stewardship.
- OCA calls for increased research on the impact of Olympic Peninsula logging on sediment accumulation within the OCNMS and how this has affected kelp forests in the past and will affect restoration of kelp forests in the future.
- OCA recommends that the OCNMS increase research on bio-accumulative toxins in the Sanctuary. The deposition of toxins by air, water, and land into the west coast marine environment likely has significant long-term and cumulative impacts to the Sanctuary's biota and on the human populations that harvest Sanctuary resources for food. OCA encourages OCNMS to collaborate with other agencies to increase our knowledge of the build-up of these toxins in the Sanctuary's water and biota.
- OCA recommends that the OCNMS increase research on sediment from terrestrial sources. As outlined in the Kelp and Sea Otter section, monitoring of sediment pollution from terrestrial sources is an important under-researched topic potentially impacting Sanctuary biota and habitats.
- Coordinate with upland managers to assess and minimize impacts from upland activities, including the disruption of natural shoreline processes and stormwater run off.
- Coordinate with upland managers to assess and minimize runoff from roads and coastal development.

### **32. RESEARCH TO SUPPORT ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT**

- Research within the sanctuary needs to shift. Currently, research is focused on certain charismatic species. Monitoring should occur more on the community level (not just on certain species).
- A priority should be the scientific research and the data collected, including ecosystem parameters that the biological resources rely on, effects of pollutants from Puget Sound; water quality research, oceanic processes, dissolved oxygen and CO<sub>2</sub>.
- Archeological sites contain information that can be used to understand the ecology of present systems which could help us with resource management (e.g., look at things in the past before management issues such as overfishing were occurring).
- Prioritize the research of cultural history from the period when sea level was low to help in the understanding of long-term change (cultural and natural history components).
- Reconstruct the trends in ecosystem change and human use over time.
- The sanctuary should consider that habitat mapping data should support other ecosystem objectives, and not just support sanctuary or rockfish needs.
- The sanctuary should initiate a stakeholder process to develop a shared set of species and habitats to be evaluated. Determine the conditions of those species and habitats and jointly develop strategies to protect them. Leverage partnerships and identify gaps.
- A program to monitor the interspecies dynamics of increased abundance warm water species such as tuna and pelican. How are these changes affecting the ecosystem and what are these species eating (stomach contents analysis)?
- The sanctuary should pursue a policy of ecosystem-based management, which should focus on interaction of all elements of ecosystems, including humans as element of the system.
- Monitoring program for near shore buoys should be expanded to record plankton and other water quality parameters at depth. Surface monitoring currently conducted does not fully address data needs, especially to identify issues such as ocean acidification.
- More research on indicators of ocean health. Examples: eelgrass, kelp forests, reefs.
- Need to know more about fishery resources to manage them sustainably.
- Key data needs are oceanographic and biological processes, for example larval transport, sink locations, habitat requirements.
- Fishery stock assessment studies should focus on species-habitat associations and depth preferences and differences in timing, tidal cycles, seasonal factors, etc. Stock assessments as now conducted do not accurately account for these preferences.

- Need to develop long-term monitoring and characterization program for marine resources within sanctuary utilizing ecosystem based management approach – full life cycle of organisms and habitat associations.
- The Pacific Fisheries Management Council (PFMC) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) northwest fishery science center have long-term research plans. These plans should be reviewed by sanctuary to potentially form partnerships for research. In the past they focused on single species and stock assessment. In the new research plans, they must ask whether there are regional differences in the stocks (where the fish lives, migrates, etc) when doing stock assessment. Now they need to ask “Is there a reason to manage stock differently in different regions?” The sanctuary should make sure that there is communication with fisheries researchers and that resources and data can be pooled together to help further our goals. What makes the sanctuary special may create various habitats for different stocks of fish. The sanctuary can help fisheries managers with refining regional differences within stocks.
- More ecosystem protection assessment of dynamics – impacts by climate change, human interaction, natural variation – create baselines of species, and habitat (coral, kelp)
- Conduct ecosystem inventory and assessment and analysis by the Intergovernmental Policy Council (IPC) and the sanctuary. There is currently a lack of data and data integration.
- Support the development of new technologies to investigate marine ecosystems structure and function.
- The sanctuary should act as a science based advisory panel and not implement belief based policy. Research that will fill data gaps in the transition to ecosystem based fisheries management. Specifics to include monitoring of apex predators, or sea otter-sea urchin dynamics. Conduct research that is mutually beneficial to tribes and the sanctuary. To be collaborators.
- The sanctuary should conduct long-term research projects.
- Encourage the development of an outer coast atlas. Oceanographic currents, biotic resources, habitat mapping, monitoring, near shore cell circulation patterns
- Develop basic knowledge. Better understand basic mechanics of process.
- Cannot manage something that we do not know.
- Develop collaborative research to investigate seabirds as indicator species and indicator of ocean health. Need to better understand seabirds. Great indicator of trophic levels.
- Sanctuary should monitor long-term higher apex predator abundance as bio-indicator of ecosystem health (at least 5 years, but ideally 20 yrs).
- Understanding ecosystems dynamics. Refine a program to focus on physical parameters and biological populations in the near shore areas. Concerns with anoxia, upwelling and plankton-food web connections. Natural disturbance or influenced by anthropogenic influences such as meteorological conditions or climatic conditions. Concerns with effects on fisheries and seabird populations.
- Sanctuary needs to commit itself to long-term monitoring of important parts of the food web. Should conduct review of protocols that can be conducted year after year so that these programs continue.
- Synthesizing and integrating data from fish and wildlife, tribes and the National Marine Fisheries Service. The sanctuary or someone needs to be the integrator.
- Coordination with other agencies to get a better understanding of roles and responsibilities. Comprehensive understanding of research trends. Analysis of trends that have changed since the sanctuary designation. What improvements have occurred since designation?
- Understanding of keystone species and interspecies dynamics in the ecosystem
- Species research that captures trends and status of different types in the sanctuary. Research should focus on habitat conditions and habitat types, i.e., deep corals.
- Continued exploration of different habitats
- Research: there were a lot of question marks in the sanctuary’s 2008 Condition Report. It would be a good thing to try and answer those questions/unknowns identified in the Condition Report. In particular, research is needed on the deep-sea trenches. Additional research is needed on the base of the food chain (krill etc.) – especially in light of anticipated effects of climate change.
- I’d like to see the sanctuary do its own independent research (instead of just piggy-backing on other programs). The only independent work seems to be on deep-sea coral.
- The sanctuary needs to do more research to back up its belief system/objective/mission.



- The sanctuary should assist/support fisheries managers by doing research that helps managers (rather than managing fisheries itself). For example, seafloor mapping research could help fisheries managers.
- The sanctuary needs to research the impacts of overabundance of marine mammals. What are the impacts on shellfish populations? What are the impacts on salmonids? What are the ecosystem-wide impacts on ecosystem structure and function?
- There needs to be hypothesis-based research done by the sanctuary.
- Continue research on birds, whales, and pollution
- Data collection and ongoing scientific research programs are important.
- Given the current expectations for global climate change, I believe that it would be a very good idea for the sanctuary to support more paleoenvironmental research. It may be possible to model and plan for possible changes. For example, there are several archaeological sites on the Olympic Peninsula that are associated with a relatively higher sea level than at present. The animal remains (and in one case so far, plant remains) in these archaeological sites can shed light on the nature of the marine environment in the area, when sea level is higher. The human/marine environment interaction can be traced through time, which will shed light on management issues (known archaeological records of more than 4,000 years of interaction). Research in non-archaeological sites (such as lake bottom sediments) can help separate the human and natural factors in the human/environmental interaction.
- [Survey] ocean conditions, physical habitats, species and species interactions to better understand what lives where, and how, within the sanctuary
- Where possible, provide data and information to fisheries management entities to improve stock assessments -- but in so doing, characterize the full life cycle of organisms and their habitat associations - to support sustainable fisheries.
- In addition to banning cruise ship discharges in the Management Plan the Sanctuary needs to reeducate itself to informing the public about the natural wealth that lies off the coast, enhance our region's ability to prevent and respond to oil spills and conduct research that helps to inform fisheries management rather than including fishing within the scope of regulations as you told the public when the Sanctuary was first designated.
- We believe that there are several goals and objectives that the Sanctuary, together with its partnering agencies and the Tribes, should work toward. First, we need to gather baseline data to better understand ecosystem interactions and conduct more research on multi-species dynamics, including the assessment of natural processes and human/cultural interactions with the environment.
- We believe that there are several goals and objectives that the Sanctuary, together with its partnering agencies and the Tribes, should work toward. We . . . need to gather baseline data sufficient to measure change in marine resources within the boundary of the Sanctuary. From this, the Sanctuary can begin to develop an understanding of the distribution and quality of habitats and the role in which they function in the marine ecosystem.
- Develop a long-term characterization and monitoring protocol in order to fill data gaps (both bottom up and top down) necessary for the development of ecosystem based fisheries management.
- OCA recommends that the OCNMS increase biodiversity monitoring within the Sanctuary. Our understanding of the diversity of species existing in the biological web of life in the Sanctuary is necessary for an ecosystem management approach, focusing on ecosystem connections. We recommend that OCNMS focus on the lower ratings in the Condition Report.
- [We recommend that the updated OCNMS Management Plan include] identification of Important Ecological Areas based on ecological criteria and the physical and biological features of the sanctuary (e.g. kelp forests, corals and sponge, rocky shores, critical habitat, and habitats important to marine life for breeding, feeding and shelter).
- Focus the monitoring program on collecting data that will enable NOAA scientists to answer key questions about the biological health of the Sanctuary. For example, there is a concern about the periodic occurrences of low dissolved oxygen (DO) in near shore waters of the Sanctuary. These occurrences have the potential to impact all aquatic populations as well as bird life. Are these low DO levels a result of natural conditions or from a build up of anthropogenic materials in the area? Are they the result of recent meteorological conditions which were different from long term historical conditions? Are recent meteorological conditions possibly the result of changes due to global climate change? There are many questions. OPAS would like to see NOAA identify the most important

questions which relate to the health of the Sanctuary and then focus the monitoring program to collect the data that will allow them the best chance to understand these issues.

- Many species of seabirds are in decline Washington Marine Waters. It is important to monitor the distribution and abundance of birds in the Sanctuary. What factors are influencing key bird food resources? Are changes in short term (last 10 years) meteorological conditions influencing nutrient dynamics and near shore productivity?
- Focus on summarizing data from an ecosystem approach. How do meteorological conditions, near shore water quality (including nutrients), and all trophic level biological populations relate to one another.
- Investigate ecosystem dynamics. Continue assessment of habitat types, plus the relationships between habitats, species and biological processes.
- Assess how the system is impacted by human activities, climate change, and natural variation.
- [U]nderstanding baseline conditions, ecosystem functions, and status and trends of biological and socioeconomic resources to effectively inform management should be a priority.

### **36. WATER QUALITY MONITORING**

- A priority should be the scientific research and the data collected, including ecosystem parameters that the biological resources rely on, effects of pollutants from Puget Sound; water quality research, oceanic processes, dissolved oxygen and CO<sub>2</sub>.
- Expand upon current physical and biological parameter monitoring using remote ocean sensing devices (buoys) to provide baseline data and early warnings (e.g., harmful algal blooms). Integrate current deployments into Coastal Ocean Observing Systems, and partner with them.
- Improve data acquisition, data management, and data sharing. Implement the Sanctuary Integrated Monitoring Network (SIMoN) at Olympic Coast National Marine Sanctuary.
- NOAA should use all of its observation assets (e.g., satellites) to the benefit of the national marine sanctuaries. Make this part of the management plan.
- Data collected by the sanctuary needs to be available to concerned parties in an electronic format – especially Geographic Information System (GIS) data. Data also needs to be processed and analyzed in a timely manner. Cooperative agreements could help insure the analysis gets done.
- Monitoring program for near shore buoys should be expanded to record plankton and other water quality parameters at depth. Surface monitoring currently conducted does not fully address data needs, especially to identify issues such as ocean acidification.
- Make funding available to organizations that conduct water quality testing. Example: Surfrider program for testing water quality.
- Local knowledge from fishermen should be used to help develop sanctuary research.
- Monitoring oxygen levels is important, as well as early notification of low levels. Work with local fishermen to enhance early reporting.
- Utilize local charter or commercial vessel operators for monitoring of baseline conditions. Create two-way communication process (e.g., email) to inform of changes in environmental conditions.
- Dead zones: O<sub>2</sub> levels effect crab, fish, and other habitat. Work with fishermen to improve knowledge, map affected areas, get information to/from fishermen.
- There is a strong need to provide sanctuary data in a timelier manner and we need to identify the impediments that inhibit these reports from being produced and made available to other agencies and organizations.
- Conduct and/or support those conducting analyses of existing data and identify data needs.
- Continue studies on ocean conditions on causes of oxygen depletion.
- Need monitoring using remote sensing. More work with partnerships; agencies, tribes, non government organizations, and research institutions. To monitor physical changes and biological changes in the water of the sanctuary (e.g., harmful algal blooms - HABs).
- The sanctuary should develop data standards that provide for data and interpretation of the data to be translatable and available to resource managers in a timely fashion.
- The sanctuary should make its data/research more accessible to the public and others.
- Research and monitor the deposition of airborne pollutants from Asia and marine vessel traffic.

- Develop and adhere to a standard to making existing data translatable and available in a reasonable time period to inform resource management.
- Low oxygen problem. Need continued focus, improved understanding of oceanographic and climate change linkages.
- Need a baseline for future monitoring. Sanctuary to help facilitate with agencies, academic, tribes and act as a clearing house. Coordinate a bi-annual symposium of knowledge of the sanctuaries, i.e., recent research results.
- The sanctuary needs to find a way to fund “spiders” on existing buoys that monitor ocean acidification. The degree of ocean acidification is extremely important to monitor.
- The sanctuary needs additional near shore monitoring buoys. That way, the sanctuary can get a bigger data set with which to assess ocean conditions.
- The sanctuary needs an on-line database where the public can access data and information. This would better educate people about what the sanctuary is doing. It is difficult to access sanctuary data. If data was accessible on-line, it would lead to more transparency.
- There is a new report on ecological conditions of coastal ocean waters along the U.S. western continental shelf, inclusive of the five west coast National Marine Sanctuaries. One of the major take-home messages of this report is that NOAA’s five NMSs along the West Coast of the U.S., including OCNMS, appeared to be in good ecological condition, based on the measured indicators, with no evidence of major anthropogenic impacts or unusual environmental qualities compared to nearby non-sanctuary waters. I am writing to bring your attention to this new report and to encourage you to make use of the results in your efforts to finalize the Sanctuary's management plan.
- Outreach occurs when you get partners that are in industry. Industry has resources that you can't afford and a desire to try them out to gain a competitive advantage. . . I see from page 24 of your Condition Report 2008 that vessel traffic is running the edge of the OCNMS and what an ideal chance to partner and outreach. Have sensors on the ships and have the ship lines as part of your team.
- My point is the citizen scientists can be partners. If salmon season is closed it is more fun to be out on a boat gathering data than sitting in port. Have a sampling rally.
- Advance the study, knowledge, and awareness of oxygen depletion - its causes, locations, consequences, and future threats.
- Study deposition and impacts from airborne pollutants.
- Sanctuaries should be places where basic long-term natural resource monitoring is done as a consequence of designation. At a minimum NOAA should be archiving their own satellite data to track seasonal changes in temperature and primary productivity in the nation’s 13 Sanctuaries, but this is not done. These data will enable the Sanctuary program to provide an archive of the impacts global climate change is having on our nation’s marine habitats.
- Increase monitoring capacity, through adding a NANOOS buoy within the Sanctuary, via in situ and satellite sensors to monitor the ocean's physical and biogeochemical properties, including carbon, nitrogen, current patterns, sea surface and sub-surface temperature, salinity, and acidity.
- Ocean acidification could be detrimental to calcifying organisms and potentially have ecosystem-altering effects, but the extent of ocean acidification is not being monitored in the sanctuary. With monitoring infrastructure already in place for many aspects of the sanctuary’s oceanographic conditions, the management plan should look into including the monitoring of pH changes in the sanctuary’s ongoing research program.
- [W]e encourage the sanctuary to continue monitoring water quality using mooring stations and to collect data to better understand global climate change induced impacts such as ocean acidification, temperature changes and hypoxic events.
- Continue to build partnerships for comprehensive monitoring and research on the issue of hypoxic events in the northern California Current. There is a clear need for near real-time data results to be readily available and useful to the research community.

## **OCNMS 2009 Annual Operating Plan extract**

On an annual basis each unit (i.e., headquarters branch, sanctuary or monument) of the Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (ONMS) drafts an Annual Operating Plan (AOP) following guidance and a standard format. This is a programmatic and budget planning tool. The process typically begins in the spring prior to the next fiscal year. These early plans are considered draft until the ONMS receives a final budget. In some recent years the AOP and budget have not been finalized until well into the fiscal year.

The AOP process has been developing and improving over several years and is moving toward a meaningful, useful, “living” plan. The National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA), NMSP Strategic Plan, program performance measures, and each site’s management plan action plans are used to focus the AOPs to meet site, regional, and national priorities. A revised OCNMS management plan will help make future AOPs more effective.

The following are extracts from the 2009 OCNMS AOP related to research, assessments, and monitoring. These extracts do not include AOP items related to resource protection or other OCNMS programs.

### **Habitat Characterization and Mapping**

#### ***Activity Description***

A major priority for OCNMS is accurate and contemporary characterization of the seafloor through the generation of a geospatial habitat dataset. The dataset will be updated as new information becomes available and will assist the Sanctuary, other agencies, and coastal treaty tribes with natural resource management responsibilities within and outside the OCNMS. For 2009, funding is not available for planned habitat mapping in Sanctuary waters and therefore will not be conducted. Backlogged data from 2008 will continue to be processed to provide information supporting ongoing deep sea coral and sponge investigations, education materials, and ancillary output useful to Management Plan Review. OCNMS staff will continue to be engaged in developing and enhancing outside partnerships that support the mapping agenda. They will continue to generate and publish reports to broaden the ONMS’ knowledge of seabed mapping techniques and be a leader in dissemination of spatial information and technology. OCNMS will focus partnership opportunities in FY09 on the State of Washington (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife) and coastal tribes, as articulated in the Ecosystem Monitoring and Research Proposal prepared by the Intergovernmental Policy Council. Principle costs include federal and contract labor and software licenses.

#### ***Output Titles/Descriptions***

**Process side scan and multibeam data** - Process side scan data obtained during May 2008 survey off the *NOAA Ship McArthur II* and 2008 survey from the *NOAA Ship Okeanos Explorer*. Verify it as much as possible with existing grab and video data. Classify the habitats using procedures similar to what OCNMS has done previously using the Greene et al classification system.

**Video ground truthing** – Habitat groundtruthing projects using a cameral sled on the *RV Tatoosh* were identified for 2009 but, because of budget restrictions, project days have been limited to shakedown of equipment (5 Days at Sea) and it not intended to produce useful data.

**Side-scan sonar survey** - Funding for side-scan sonar surveys is not available.

**High resolution bathymetry data collection** - Data collection from the *NOAA Ship Rainier* has been canceled for the 2009 season.

### **Deepwater Coral/Sponge Habitats**

#### ***Activity Description***

Staff will continue analysis and documentation of data and samples collected from the 2006 and 2008 research cruises, which includes seeking funds and partnerships for future. See also AOP 17.1 for activities associated with the Pacific Fishery Management Council. Principle costs include federal and contract labor. The 2008 cruise was a joint effort with Department of Fisheries and Ocean, Canada (DFO). We continue to talk with DFO colleagues about how we can jointly use the cruise data, as well as future collaborations.

#### ***Output Titles/Descriptions***

**Cruise Report for the 2008 cable route benthic recovery program and deepwater coral and sponge surveys** - A cruise report covering the coral aspect of the 2008 survey will be completed once the coral and sponge identifications are complete and navigation data processed and filtered. The Cruise Report will be publically available and distributed to various federal, state and tribal agencies.

**Identify invertebrates Samples** - Invertebrate samples from 2006 survey have been sent to Smithsonian and Royal British Columbia Museum for accession/cataloging into their collection to enable investigators worldwide to access the samples. The samples from 2008 are with taxonomists being identified and will eventually go to the Smithsonian, California Academy of Sciences, and the Royal BC Museum.

**Coral public education presentations** - OCNMS staff give presentations to the public, including school groups, citizen science programs and lay audiences. In order to further develop deep sea video OCNMS needs additional computer processing and data storage equipment.

### **Kelp Monitoring**

#### ***Activity Description***

If outside funds become available, the annual kelp survey will be flown using the same contractor and methodology used since 1989, thus building on a long term data set. If no funds are secured, surveys will not be completed. This fulfills one of OCNMS' ecosystem monitoring objectives, namely tracking long-term trends in this nearshore ecosystem. Infrared photography will map canopy kelp distribution and abundance, then digitized and added to GIS layers in partnership with Washington Department of Natural Resources. Historic data may be digitized and comparisons made across years depending on staff availability (e.g., Habitat Mapping Specialist hired).

#### ***Output Titles/Descriptions***

**Aerial kelp survey of outer coast** - If outside funding is secured, conduct aerial survey to document kelp canopy bed conditions and locations flown between August and September with photogrammetric protocols and standard methodologies.

**Annual kelp report** - Annual kelp report, including digital data set, atlases and summary of significant findings, produced and sent to OCNMS and Washington Department of Natural Resources by the contractor if the survey is conducted.

**Digitize and spatial analysis of kelp canopy** - Digitized kelp data for use within a GIS system to complete spatial analysis and produce a summary report produced of the findings.

## **Marine Mammal/Seabird Monitoring**

### ***Activity Description***

As part of an ongoing monitoring program, OCNMS conducted a ship-based marine mammal/seabird distribution and abundance survey in June 2008, the data for which will be processed in 2009. At current funding levels, no new surveys will be completed in 2009. The 2008 survey included hydroacoustic surveys of prey base, photo ID work on whales, deploying acoustic recording moorings for cetaceans. In addition monthly surveys aboard the *RV Tatoosh* from May - September were conducted for nearshore seabird distribution/abundance and are being attempted again in 2009, contingent on fuel costs and qualified volunteer observers.

OCNMS continues its collaboration with the Northwest Marine Mammal Stranding Network, which is composed of other federal, state and tribal agencies (see also AOP 20.1).

In 2008 OCNMS took advantage of the availability of the NOAA Twin Otter aircraft and flew aerial surveys to document seabird surface-nesting colonies in conjunction with USFWS.

### ***Output Titles/Descriptions***

**2008 Marine mammal/seabird report** - Complete data analysis and write up from 2008 surveys which included hydroacoustic surveys of prey base, photo ID work on whales, acoustic monitoring for cetaceans.

**2008 Nearshore seabird report** - Complete data analysis and write up from 2008 survey.

**2008 Washington seabird colony report** - Complete data analysis and write up from 2008 survey in collaboration with USFWS.

## **Nearshore Monitoring**

### ***Activity Description***

Participate in the West Coast Observation Network through the Olympic Coast Observation System of nearshore moorings. This system contributes to tracking water quality and living marine resources of OCNMS and characterizing the site. Deploy and maintain limited oceanographic moorings equipped with sensors and conduct hypoxia monitoring. Work in partnership with the Partnership for Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans (PISCO), (OSU and UCSB), the coastal tribes, University of Washington, NMFS, Olympic Region Harmful Algal Bloom, (ORHAB) and the National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC). Because this program directly correlates with harmful algal bloom (HAB) monitoring efforts it contributes to human health and fisheries issues, as does the hypoxia monitoring. HAB and depleted oxygen can be viewed as stressors.

### ***Output Titles/Descriptions***

**OCNMS nearshore mooring program** - Deploy 13 nearshore moorings equipped with programmed oceanographic sensors, throughout OCNMS within *RV Tatoosh* access range. Throughout field season, download data and redeploy instruments.

**Download and filter oceanographic data for analysis** - Process oceanographic data from the sensors, filtering out air time and removing outliers and extraneous information. Import the data into database for the program and/or store as usable files. Continue working with ONMS West Coast Region (WCR) and PISCO to get protocols written for

data to be uploaded to the National Coastal Data Development Center (NCDDC) site. Continue working on data flagging procedures and metadata development.

**Hypoxia monitoring** - Conduct surveys along at least 2 transects to evaluate the occurrence of hypoxia events, downloading data monthly. Keep coastal trustees and the group of scientists monitoring for hypoxia updated on the results obtained. Update the report on the OCNMS website.

### **Intertidal Monitoring**

#### ***Activity Description***

Intertidal invertebrate and algae monitoring will be conducted in partnership with Olympic National Park, Makah Tribe and Quinault Nation, to record species distribution and abundance, to assist in characterizing sanctuary resources. Monitoring sites adjacent to the sanctuary and outside the national park will be evaluated and monitoring will be conducted on Makah and Quinault tribal lands. Participate as time allows, in the ONP draft procedures for selecting additional rocky intertidal sites and with the PISCO-UCSB Multi-Agency Rocky Intertidal Network (MARINe) intertidal sampling effort. Intertidal monitoring contributes to habitat protection and living marine resource protection. Conduct spring and summer monthly invasive crab monitoring in Neah Bay/Makah Bay for the European Green Crab. The data will be recorded and submitted to the state (WDFW) and OCNMS conducts the program with the Makah Tribe. Update species inventories and voucher collections with any data and samples collected.

#### ***Output Titles/Descriptions***

**Intertidal monitoring** - Conduct intertidal monitoring and data recording of invertebrates and algae at one or more sites within the sanctuary. Provide data to Olympic National Park and MARINe for inclusion in larger databases, and for those portions that are consistent with other sanctuaries, maintain the data for inclusion.

**Invasive European green crab surveys** - Set and retrieve traps monthly from late spring through summer in the northern portion of OCNMS to determine if green crab have moved from south of the sanctuary to the most likely areas around the sanctuary. Record data and submit it to the state program tracking this information (WDFW).

**Update invertebrate and algae species list** - Update species lists of invertebrates and algae found in the sanctuary, including those considered invasive species.

### **Sea Otter Monitoring**

#### ***Activity Description***

OCNMS collaborates in the annual sea otter census with USFWS, USGS, WDFW, and The Seattle Aquarium. The census provides information on the distribution and abundance of sea otters in Washington State, as called for in the sea otter recovery plan and carry capacity study. OCNMS comprises the core range of the Washington sea otter. This program contributes to habitat and marine living resource protection. OCNMS also participates with USFWS and WDFW to respond to sea otter strandings. Response to strandings provides information on the age at mortality and when fresh dead or live sick animals are recovered, information on the cause of mortality as part of Northwest Stranding Network. (See also under AOP 20.1 - Resource Protection Programs for marine mammal stranding in general). This information contributes to the abundance and distribution and life history of the OCNMS sea otter population. This program contributes to habitat and marine living resource protection as well as water quality.

***Output Titles/Descriptions***

**Sea otter census** - Staff at least one census station to provide shore-based counts during the time of aerial survey counts of sea otters.

**Sea otter stranding network** - Staff the sea otter stranding network toll free line, respond to sea otter strandings and complete stranding forms for submittal to USFWS.

**Washington sea otter annual report** - ,As collaborators on the annual census, we receive the annual census report from WDFW and USFWS.

**Fiber Optic Cable Monitoring**

***Activity Description***

Document the procedures for data collected in 2008 on a baseline benthic habitat and species recovery monitoring cruise along the Pacific Crossing PC-1 fiber optic cable route. Video and sampling transects in coarse substrate habitats were surveyed such that the data can be compared to data that will be collected several years from now. In addition to damage assessment and restoration, this program contributes to characterizing the habitat and species of the sanctuary and providing distribution and abundance of fish and invertebrate species. As time and funds allow, analyze historic data from the cable monitoring.

***Output Titles/Descriptions***

**Video and benthic taxonomy data analysis** - Add data received from taxonomists to the project database. Review video to extract habitat and species information and manage contract for benthic taxonomy.

**PC-1 baseline survey cruise report or summary of sampling procedures** - Produce a cruise report that outlines the survey conducted of the Pacific Crossing cables in 2008.



## **Goals & Objectives for Revised OCNMS Management Plan – Draft, July 2009**

A. Build and strengthen OCNMS's partnerships with the coastal treaty tribes and the Olympic Coast Intergovernmental Policy Council (IPC), and honor the Sanctuary's treaty trust responsibility.

Objective 1: Promote a transparent, cooperative and coordinated management structure for Olympic Coast marine resources within tribal, state and federal jurisdictions.

Objective 2: Work with the four coastal treaty tribes to improve the government-to-government consultation process.

Objective 3: Work collaboratively with the IPC to identify common goals and reach consensus on management priorities within the boundaries of the OCNMS for the protection, management and sustainable use of natural resources, and the promotion of educational opportunities and scientific research.

Objective 4: Work with the IPC to improve communication and facilitate the exchange of information to foster more effective decision-making.

B. Promote collaborative and coordinated management and stewardship of resources in the Sanctuary.

Objective 1: Actively encourage the State, tribes, interested agencies, coastal communities, and organizations to partner in addressing specific Sanctuary management concerns, joint work on action plans, and marine stewardship and sustainable use opportunities.

Objective 2: Improve intra-agency partnerships within the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Objective 3: Create linkages between OCNMS's action plans and ocean initiatives of other entities.

Objective 4: Maintain and support the Sanctuary Advisory Council.

C. Investigate and enhance the understanding of ecosystem processes, and inform ecosystem-based management efforts, through scientific research, monitoring, and characterization.

Objective 1: Understand the effects of changing climate and ocean conditions on Sanctuary ecosystems.

Objective 2: Monitor key resources within the Sanctuary to identify significant changes over time.

Objective 3: Characterize and map the Sanctuary's species and habitats.

Objective 4: Promote more informed management by improving opportunities and mechanisms for sharing scientific data and research results.

Objective 5: Collaborate with the IPC and coastal treaty tribes on research and monitoring activities within the tribes' Usual & Accustomed Areas.

Objective 6: Promote and coordinate scientific research in the Sanctuary in collaboration with others.

D. Enhance ocean literacy, promote awareness of the Sanctuary and foster a sense of ocean stewardship through outreach, education, and interpretation efforts.

Objective 1: Collaborate to enhance K-12 and adult education programs on the Olympic Peninsula related to marine ecology and conservation.

Objective 2: Promote and support community-based conservation and education efforts.

Objective 3: Improve the public's understanding of coastal tribal cultures and awareness of the Sanctuary and its marine ecosystem.

E. Maintain the Sanctuary's natural biological diversity and protect, and where appropriate, restore and enhance Sanctuary ecosystems.

Objective 1: Work collaboratively with strategic partners to conserve natural habitats, populations, and ecological processes by preventing, minimizing and/or mitigating stressors on resources in the Sanctuary.

Objective 2: Actively participate in regional spill prevention, contingency planning, emergency response, damage assessment, and restoration activities.

Objective 3: Develop and maintain permitting and enforcement programs and partnerships to maximize protection of resources in the Sanctuary.

Objective 4: Promote marine debris removal in coordination with federal, state, local, and tribal authorities and volunteer organizations.

F. Enhance understanding and appreciation of the Olympic Coast's maritime heritage (living cultures, traditions, and cultural resources).

Objective 1: Map and interpret cultural resources in the Sanctuary.

Objective 2: Improve understanding of and education about regional tribal cultures.

Objective 3: Incorporate local and customary knowledge into Sanctuary programs.

G. Facilitate wise and sustainable use in sanctuaries to the extent that such uses are compatible with resource protection.

Objective 1: Assess, monitor and manage, as appropriate, levels of human use in the Sanctuary.

Objective 2: Create and support programs and strategies that protect tribal welfare.

Objective 3: Understand the Sanctuary's socioeconomic values.

H. Build, maintain, and enhance an operational capability and infrastructure.

Objective 1: Ensure that OCNMS regulations are consistent with other sanctuaries, where appropriate.

Objective 2: Pursue the infrastructure improvements and staffing increases necessary to achieve the work identified in the management plan.

Objective 3: Identify strategies to minimize the contribution of Sanctuary operations to climate change.

Objective 4: Support and expand volunteer opportunities at the Sanctuary.

Objective 5: Improve communication and collaboration between sanctuaries to share best practices.

SPILL PREVENTION, PREPAREDNESS, RESPONSE AND  
RESTORATION  
WORKING GROUP  
[DATE REPORT SUBMITTED TO ADVISORY COUNCIL]

***NOTE: This is a draft outline of a recommended format for a management plan review working group report to the Advisory Council. In this draft sanctuary staff has used the example of the existing Area to be Avoided (ATBA) Education and Monitoring Program, to show the level of detail and types of information that the sanctuary is requesting from the working groups. This is only an illustrative example and should not be taken as completed product. We assume that the working group will want to discuss many potential strategies, including this one.***

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

WG CHAIRS: Bob Bohlman and Chip Boothe

WG PARTICIPANTS: \_\_\_\_

WG MEETING DATES: \_\_\_\_

SUMMARY OF WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS:

*[Note: Short narrative of the findings of the group.]*

SCOPE/RANGE OF ISSUES DISCUSSED:

*[Note: How did the WG characterize the scope of this priority topic? How does this relate to the original 37 topics identified in scoping? What was the range of issues discussed? Bulleted response is fine]*

## **II. RECOMMENDED STRATEGIES & ACTIVITIES**

### **STRATEGY #1 TITLE:**

Monitor compliance of the Area to be Avoided (ATBA)

#### **WHAT IS THE DESIRED OUTCOME OF THIS STRATEGY?**

Monitoring of the ATBA allows for assessment of compliance with this voluntary vessel traffic measure and, therefore, the degree to which this measure reduces the risk of and other hazardous materials from being spilled into marine waters and the risk of oil reaching Olympic Coast shorelines. Through monitoring, vessels that transit through the ATBA can be identified and targeted for outreach aimed at improving compliance.

#### **ACTIVITIES NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE STRATEGY:**

**ACTIVITY A:** Conduct monthly processing of Canadian Coast Guard radar data to evaluate voluntary compliance and identify vessels that travel within the ATBA

**ACTIVITY B:** For areas outside of the Canadian Coast Guard radar coverage acquire and review Automatic Information System (AIS) plots from the Seattle Marine Exchange.

#### **PARTNERS:**

Canadian Coast Guard; Seattle Marine Exchange

#### **RESOURCES:**

- Access to the Canadian Coast Guard Marine Communications and Traffic Services (MCTS) radar data for vessel traffic off the outer Washington coast and western Strait of Juan de Fuca.
- Staff proficient with geographic information system (GIS) software and OCNMS data processing routines and data base(s)
- AIS data from the Seattle Marine Exchange
- OCNMS program manager
- Payment of an annual GIS license
- Payment of annual subscription to Seattle Marine Exchange AOC
- Maintenance of appropriate IT equipment (computer, data storage).

**STRATEGY #2 TITLE:**

Conduct ATBA outreach to non-compliant vessels.

**WHAT IS THE DESIRED OUTCOME OF THIS STRATEGY?**

Notification of non-compliant vessels through a letter signed by the U.S. Coast Guard and OCNMS is conducted to improve voluntary compliance and to reinforce the importance of this vessel traffic measure to these federal agencies.

**ACTIVITIES NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE STRATEGY:**

**ACTIVITY A:** Send a letter with joint U.S. Coast Guard and OCNMS signatures to non-compliant vessels.

**ACTIVITY B:** Publish an ATBA flyer in the Vessel Traffic Service Puget Sound (VTSPS) Users Manual.

**PARTNERS:**

U.S. Coast Guard

**RESOURCES:**

OCNMS and USCG staff time.

**STRATEGY #3 TITLE:**

Report ATBA compliance in publication(s) distributed to vessel traffic and oil spill prevention interests.

**WHAT IS THE DESIRED OUTCOME OF THIS STRATEGY?**

Reporting of ATBA compliance informs maritime industry, regulatory agencies, and the public about the effectiveness of the ATBA, which can improve support for this vessel traffic measure.

**ACTIVITIES NECESSARY TO ACHIEVE STRATEGY:**

**ACTIVITY A:** Provide compliance data to Washington Department of Ecology for publication in their annual Vessel Entry and Transit (VEAT) report.

**ACTIVITY B:** Maintain the OCNMS web site with current ATBA background and compliance tracking data.

**PARTNERS:** Washington Department of Ecology (WDE)

**RESOURCES:**

OCNMS and WDE staff time.

### **III. OTHER STRATEGIES CONSIDERED BUT NOT RECOMMENDED**

*[Note: Please provide a brief discussion – bulleted notes are fine – of other strategies that your WG considered but decided not to recommend. What was the range of strategies you considered? Why did you decide against recommending certain strategies? What made you choose the strategies you chose? Again, bullets and brief synopses are fine. This is not intended to replicate your meeting notes. This is just intended to help the AC understand your decision-making without reading the notes from every meeting of every WG]*

### **CRAM Working Group - Participants Contact List**

Terrie Klinger, UW	tklinger@u.washington.edu	206 685-2499
Joe Schumacker, Quinault Natural Resources	jschumacker@quinault.org	360-276-8211 x 327
Ed Bowlby, OCNMS	ed.bowlby@noaa.gov	360.457.6622 x17
John Barimo, OCNMS	john.barimo@noaa.gov	360.457.6622 x22
Diane Butorac, WDOE	dbut461@ecy.wa.gov	360.407.6238
Joe Gilbertson, Hoh Tribe	joseph183@centurytel.net	360.374.6737
Fan Tsao, MCBI	fan@mcbi.org	425.274.1180
Jody Kennedy, Surfrider Foundation	jkennedy@surfrider.org	206.940.6509
Joel Kawahara, AC Commercial Fishing	joelkaw@earthlink.net	
Jennifer Hagen, Quileute Natural Resources	jennifer.hagen@quileutenation.org	360.374.2059
Steve Joner, Makah Fisheries	gofish@olypen.com	360.417.8946
Bob Boekelheide, AC Citizen at Large; Dungeness River Audubon Center	rivercenter@olympus.net	360.681.4076
Rob Jones, NWIFC	rjones@nwifc.org	360.374.5501
Eric Wilkins, NWIFC	ewilkins@nwifc.org	360.374.5501
Jan Newton, UW	newton@apl.washington.edu	206 543 9152
Jason Cope, NMFS NWFSC	Jason.Cope@noaa.gov	206-302-2417
Ed Bowlby, OCNMS	<a href="mailto:Ed.bowlby@noaa.gov">Ed.bowlby@noaa.gov</a>	360-457-6622, x17
John Barimo, OCNMS	<a href="mailto:John.barimo@noaa.gov">John.barimo@noaa.gov</a>	360-457-6622, x22
Nancy Wright, OCNMS	nancy.wright@noaa.gov	360.457.6622 x18
Mary Sue Brancato, OCNMS	mary.sue.brancato@noaa.gov	360.457.6622 x20
Liam Antrim, OCNMS	liam.antrim@noaa.gov	360.457.6622 x16
Lauren Bennett, OCNMS	lauren.bennett@noaa.gov	360.457.6622 x32
George Galasso, OCNMS	george.galasso@noaa.gov	360.457.6622 x12